


CHI 2015 Conference Survey

Q1 Was CHI 2015 worth your time and money?

Answered: 563 Skipped: 135




Answer Choices	Responses	Count
Yes	92.18%	519
No	7.82%	44
Total		563

CHI 2015 Conference Survey

Q2 Please rate the conference sessions/activities at CHI. Select one choice for each row; if you did not attend, mark "didn't attend":

Answered: 689 Skipped: 9



	Poor	Fair	Neutral	Good	Excellent	Didn't attend	Total	Weighted Average
alt.chi	0.15% 1	1.48% 10	4.73% 32	18.02% 122	9.01% 61	66.62% 451	677	4.03
Asian CHI Symposia	0.15% 1	0.59% 4	1.63% 11	3.71% 25	4.45% 30	89.47% 603	674	4.11
Case Studies	0.30% 2	0.89% 6	5.51% 37	8.93% 60	3.57% 24	80.80% 543	672	3.76
Courses	1.04% 7	1.93% 13	3.56% 24	12.76% 86	7.57% 51	73.15% 493	674	3.89


CHI 2015 Conference Survey

Doctoral Consortium	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	1.95% 13	2.69% 18	3.29% 22	92.07% 615	668	4.17
Interactivity (Demos)	2.07% 14	6.37% 43	15.70% 106	42.67% 288	14.22% 96	18.96% 128	675	3.75
Invited Talks	3.29% 22	8.67% 58	12.41% 83	23.62% 158	11.06% 74	40.96% 274	669	3.52
Journal papers at the conference	0.45% 3	2.68% 18	7.29% 49	32.44% 218	15.18% 102	41.96% 282	672	4.02
Panels	1.33% 9	3.25% 22	7.84% 53	23.37% 158	9.02% 61	55.18% 373	676	3.79
Papers/Notes	0.74% 5	2.50% 17	8.09% 55	47.35% 322	37.65% 256	3.68% 25	680	4.23
SIGs	0.15% 1	0.60% 4	3.43% 23	11.49% 77	6.12% 41	78.21% 524	670	4.05
Student Design Competition	0.30% 2	0.74% 5	3.27% 22	10.57% 71	5.65% 38	79.46% 534	672	4.00
Student Games Competition	0.30% 2	0.60% 4	4.77% 32	13.86% 93	8.49% 57	71.98% 483	671	4.06
Student Research Competition	0.15% 1	0.45% 3	2.84% 19	9.42% 63	4.93% 33	82.21% 550	669	4.04
Works-in-progress (Posters)	1.19% 8	4.15% 28	14.84% 100	35.46% 239	15.28% 103	29.08% 196	674	3.84
Workshops	0.30% 2	1.20% 8	5.23% 35	15.10% 101	13.00% 87	65.17% 436	669	4.13
Opening plenary: Lou Yongqi	10.93% 74	13.74% 93	18.17% 123	17.43% 118	11.52% 78	28.21% 191	677	3.07
Tuesday morning talk: Donghoon Chang	10.47% 71	9.44% 64	11.80% 80	10.18% 69	6.05% 41	52.06% 353	678	2.83
Wednesday morning talk: David Min	5.90% 40	6.49% 44	8.55% 58	10.03% 68	6.05% 41	62.98% 427	678	3.10
Thursday morning talk: Susan Dumais	1.78% 12	3.42% 23	7.58% 51	14.26% 96	10.85% 73	62.11% 418	673	3.76
Closing plenary: Psy	6.05% 41	7.52% 51	12.68% 86	23.01% 156	30.53% 207	20.21% 137	678	3.81

CHI 2015 Conference Survey

Q3 Please rate the conference events. Select one choice for each row; if you did not attend, mark "didn't attend":

Answered: 683 Skipped: 15




	Poor	Fair	Neutral	Good	Excellent	Didn't attend	Total	Weighted Average
Conference Reception & Exhibits Grand Opening	2.50% 17	5.14% 35	13.07% 89	42.44% 289	25.70% 175	11.16% 76	681	3.94
Exhibits	3.10% 21	5.91% 40	20.53% 139	41.51% 281	15.21% 103	13.74% 93	677	3.69
Job Fair	5.76% 39	5.91% 40	14.77% 100	11.82% 80	3.55% 24	58.20% 394	677	3.04
Joint Hospitality Reception	1.92% 13	3.24% 22	6.64% 45	17.40% 118	9.00% 61	61.80% 419	678	3.74
Town Hall Meeting	0.30% 2	1.04% 7	4.01% 27	6.82% 46	4.30% 29	83.53% 563	674	3.84
Video Showcase	1.04% 7	0.89% 6	6.51% 44	13.02% 88	11.54% 78	67.01% 453	676	4.00

CHI 2015 Conference Survey

Q4 Please rate the conference overall (please select one choice for each row):

Answered: 688 Skipped: 10




	Poor	Fair	Neutral	Good	Excellent	Don't care	Total	Weighted Average
Overall Rating	0.73% 5	3.81% 26	12.01% 82	56.66% 387	26.21% 179	0.59% 4	683	4.04
Kept up with the latest trends	0.29% 2	5.39% 37	11.50% 79	48.33% 332	31.15% 214	3.35% 23	687	4.08
Content was interesting	0.44% 3	3.65% 25	9.49% 65	51.09% 350	35.04% 240	0.29% 2	685	4.17
Content was valuable to my organization	1.02% 7	5.99% 41	14.74% 101	45.26% 310	30.66% 210	2.34% 16	685	4.01
Content was valuable to me personally	1.17% 8	4.09% 28	10.53% 72	45.47% 311	38.60% 264	0.15% 1	684	4.16
Content met my overall needs	1.17% 8	6.29% 43	14.62% 100	52.34% 358	25.44% 174	0.15% 1	684	3.95

CHI 2015 Conference Survey

Q5 What is the value you received from attending CHI 2015? (please check all that apply)

Answered: 686 Skipped: 12




Answer Choices	Responses	Count
Present my work	61.22%	420
Get feedback on my work	55.69%	382
Learn new material	70.12%	481
Seek job opportunities	10.35%	71
Meet new friends and colleagues	70.99%	487
Renew relationships with others	60.35%	414
Expand my business opportunities	9.33%	64
Visit an interesting location	55.98%	384
Get an overview of the field	51.90%	356
Get new ideas and inspiration	77.55%	532
Other (please specify)	2.04%	14
Total Respondents: 686		

CHI 2015 Conference Survey

Q6 How did the following meet your needs? Please make one selection for each row.


Answered: 688 Skipped: 10



	Poor	Fair	Neutral	Good	Excellent	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Conference web site	3.20%	6.83%	17.30%	49.27%	22.24%	1.16%	688	3.81
Submission process	0.59%	5.13%	14.52%	44.28%	18.91%	16.57%	682	3.91
Registration process	2.47%	6.10%	13.37%	53.63%	22.09%	2.33%	688	3.89

**Q8 Based on your experiences at CHI 2015
would you recommend the conference to
others?**

Answered: 681 Skipped: 17



Answer Choices	Responses	Count
Yes	92.66%	631
No	7.34%	50
Total		681

CHI 2015 Conference Survey

Q11 How important are workshops to your choice to attend CHI?

Answered: 599 Skipped: 99




Answer Choices	Responses
I attend primarily for the workshops	6.84% 41
Workshops somewhat influence my decision	44.07% 264
Workshops make no difference to me	49.08% 294
Total	599

CHI 2015 Conference Survey

Q12 Which types of sessions do you believe could be omitted? (check all that apply)

Answered: 308 Skipped: 390




Answer Choices	Responses
all.chi	20.13% 62
Case Studies	19.48% 60
Conference Reception & Exhibits Grand Opening	5.19% 16
Courses	16.23% 50
Doctoral Consortium	7.79% 24
Exhibits	12.66% 39
Industry Tours	25.65% 79
Interactivity	5.19% 16
Hospitality Receptions (Wednesday)	15.91% 49
Keynotes	10.71% 33
Panels	12.99% 40
Papers and notes presentations	5.84% 18
Posters/Work-in-Progress	11.69% 36
Morning Plenaries	39.61% 122
SIGs	11.69% 36
Workshops	4.22% 13
Total Respondents: 308	

CHI 2015 Conference Survey

Q13 What media did you use to plan for and track CHI 2015 while it was in progress?


Answered: 630 Skipped: 68



Answer Choices	Responses
Printed program guide	61.27% 386
Video Previews	15.08% 95
Conference program	45.08% 284
CHI Web application (CHI 2015 Mobile App)	25.40% 160
CHI iPhone application (CHI 2015 Mobile App)	43.17% 272
CHI Android application (CHI 2015 Mobile App)	32.22% 203
Flickr	0.79% 5
Facebook	12.86% 81
Google Plus	0.63% 4
Pinterest	0.00% 0
Twitter	15.08% 95
Weibo	0.79% 5
Total Respondents: 630	

Q14 If you used Video Previews, how valuable was it as part of your conference experience?


Answered: 455 Skipped: 243



Answer Choices	Responses	
Not valuable, mostly used as a novelty	16.26%	74
A little valuable, but mostly depended on paper or web program	29.89%	136
Quite valuable, I consulted it regularly	17.14%	78
Essential, I used it for planning or guidance nearly every session	9.45%	43
I don't know what Video Previews are	27.25%	124
Total		455

Q15 If you used the Mobile Program App, how valuable was it as part of your conference experience?

Answered: 586 Skipped: 112




- Not valuable, mostly used as a novelty
- A little valuable, but mostly depended on paper or web program
- Quite valuable, I consulted it regularly
- Essential, I used it for planning or guidance nearly every session
- I did not use the Mobile Program App

Answer Choices	Responses	
Not valuable, mostly used as a novelty	2.22%	13
A little valuable, but mostly depended on paper or web program	12.97%	76
Quite valuable, I consulted it regularly	27.30%	160
Essential, I used it for planning or guidance nearly every session	44.88%	263
I did not use the Mobile Program App	12.63%	74
Total		586

CHI 2015 Conference Survey

Q16 CHI 2015 had some changes. How useful did you find these changes?

Answered: 627 Skipped: 71




	I didn't know	Not very useful	Neutral	Very useful	Total
Local Heroes posters that highlighted HCI leaders from the local region	17.76% 111	29.12% 182	36.48% 228	16.64% 104	625
The People's Choice Award allowed attendees to vote for their favorite talks	8.20% 51	30.87% 192	33.60% 209	27.33% 170	622
Simultaneous human translation service on Monday and Thursday in the plenary sessions and room 307	30.16% 184	18.36% 112	32.46% 198	19.02% 116	610
Machine translation service on Tuesday and Wednesday in selected sessions	40.86% 248	18.12% 110	29.32% 178	11.70% 71	607
Asian CHI symposia prior to the conference	48.03% 293	13.61% 83	27.87% 170	10.49% 64	610
8:30am start time	8.65% 53	33.12% 203	41.11% 252	17.13% 105	613

CHI 2015 Conference Survey

Q17 Over the years CHI has offered a variety of logo merchandise. Which of these, if any, would you purchase if offered at the next CHI conference you plan to attend? (check all that apply)

Answered: 361 Skipped: 337




Answer Choices	Responses	
T-shirt	43.49%	157
Mug	30.75%	111
Polo shirts	14.13%	51
Hats (e.g. baseball-style caps)	8.03%	29
Water bottles	24.10%	87
Pens or Pen and Pencil sets	18.84%	68
Device covers	8.03%	29
USB key	35.46%	128
CHI 2016 Hoodie	26.32%	95
CHI 2016 Foam LED Stick	7.20%	26
Catalog of the Interactive Art Exhibit	15.79%	57
Total Respondents: 361		

CHI 2015 Conference Survey

Q18 SIGCHI is considering changing our referencing format. Would you prefer to see in-text references look like (Author, Date), or like [22]?


Answered: 610 Skipped: 88



Answer Choices	Responses	Count
I would prefer (Author, Date)	52.95%	323
I would prefer [22]	44.75%	273
Other (please specify)	2.30%	14
Total		610

Q19 How strongly do you feel about changing that reference format?


Answered: 622 Skipped: 76



Answer Choices	Responses	
I feel very strongly about this	30.39%	189
It's a preference, but not a strong feeling	49.04%	305
I don't really care how we do references	20.58%	128
Total		622

Q20 Did you request any accessibility-related accommodations for the conference? If yes, were your requests met in a timely manner?

Answered: 602 Skipped: 96




Answer Choices	Responses
No - no accessibility-related special needs required	95.18% 573
Yes - I required accessibility-related special needs, which were met in a timely manner	4.32% 26
Yes - I required accessibility-related special needs, but they were not met	0.50% 3
Total	602

CHI 2015 Conference Survey

Q21 Do you know of any researchers, practitioners, educators or students with disabilities who wanted to attend the CHI conference, but could not because they required specific accommodations?

Answered: 600 Skipped: 98




Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	4.00%	24
Not sure	16.17%	97
No	79.83%	479
Total		600

CHI 2015 Conference Survey

Q23 The CHI conference is family-friendly


Answered: 607 Skipped: 91



Answer Choices	Responses	Count
strongly agree	7.41%	45
somewhat agree	22.73%	138
neither agree nor disagree	48.60%	295
somewhat disagree	15.49%	94
strongly disagree	5.77%	35
Total		607

Q24 Which of these would you personally use if offered at CHI 2016?

Answered: 192 Skipped: 506




Answer Choices	Responses	Count
Childcare for infants aged 0-2	20.83%	40
Childcare for children aged 3-5	21.88%	42
Childcare for children aged 6-12	20.31%	39
A room for breastfeeding	17.19%	33
A room for pumping milk	13.54%	26
Sign language interpretation	14.58%	28
Telepresence robots	34.90%	67
CART services (live captioning)	16.15%	31
simultaneous interpretation (from English to...)	10.42%	20
Total Respondents: 192		

CHI 2015 Conference Survey

Q25 From your viewpoint which of the following is the most unique and important element of the CHI conference (choose only one)?

Answered: 613 Skipped: 85




Answer Choices	Responses
The quality of the conference program	45.68% 280
The diversity of the conference program	29.36% 180
Opportunity to see old friends and make new acquaintances	24.96% 153
Total	613

CHI 2015 Conference Survey

Q27 I am a

Answered: 619 Skipped: 79




Answer Choices	Responses	
Female	35.54%	220
Male	61.71%	382
Other	0.16%	1
Prefer not to say	2.58%	16
Total		619

CHI 2015 Conference Survey

Q28 Have you volunteered for, written or organized something at CHI 2015? (Please check all that apply.)

Answered: 569 Skipped: 129




Answer Choices	Responses	
Attendee	65.03%	370
Presenter/Autor of a research paper	46.57%	265
Presenter/Autor of a poster, interactivity, student competition,...	23.02%	131
Workshop, Panel or SIG Organizer	8.61%	49
Workshop, Panel or SIG Participant	20.39%	116
Course Instructor	3.87%	22
Student Volunteer	11.25%	64
Exhibitor/Vendor	1.93%	11
Sponsor	2.64%	15
Committee Member - CHI 2015	6.50%	37
Committee Member - CHI 2016	6.85%	39
Reviewer	40.77%	232
Staff	0.70%	4
Other (please specify)	3.16%	18
Total Respondents: 569		

CHI 2015 Conference Survey

Q29 Which of the following best describes your profession? (If you are a student enter the professional discipline you are studying.)

Answered: 614 Skipped: 84




Answer Choices	Responses	
Architect	0.65%	4
Anthropologist	0.33%	2
Designer Graphics/Illustration	0.16%	1
Designer Industrial/Product	0.65%	4
Designer Interaction	7.82%	48
Designer User Experience	8.63%	53
Engineer Design	1.14%	7
Engineer Software	2.93%	18
Ethnographer	1.63%	10
Game Design/Development	0.65%	4
General Management	0.16%	1
Human Factors/Ergonomics	2.28%	14
Information Architect	0.81%	5
Information Systems Specialist	0.33%	2
Marketing	0.16%	1
Product Management	0.16%	1
Psychologist Cognitive	2.12%	13
Psychologist Perceptual	0.33%	2
Psychologist Social	0.98%	6
Researcher Academia	45.60%	280
Researcher Government	1.30%	8
Researcher Industry	7.98%	49
Sociologist	0.00%	0
Software Developer	2.12%	13
Teaching Academic	3.75%	23
Training Corporate	0.00%	0
Usability Engineering	2.44%	15
Other (please specify)	4.89%	30
Total		614

CHI 2015 Conference Survey

Q30 What is your position?

Answered: 609 Skipped: 89




Answer Choices	Responses
C-level (CEO/CIO/CFO)	0.66% 4
Executive Management	0.66% 4
Partner	0.16% 1
Consultant	2.30% 14
Other Management	3.28% 20
Expert	5.91% 36
Professor	21.67% 132
Researcher (Any Academic Level after your PhD and before Professor)	21.02% 128
Phd Student or Student	42.36% 258
Other (please specify)	1.97% 12
Total	609

CHI 2015 Conference Survey

Q31 Short version of your work setting:

Answered: 608 Skipped: 90




Answer Choices	Responses	
University	77.47%	471
Industry Research	12.99%	79
Practitioner	6.09%	37
Government-Funded Institution	2.63%	16
Independent/Consultant	0.82%	5
Total		608

CHI 2015 Conference Survey

Q32 Define your work location

Answered: 577 Skipped: 121




Country	Percent	Number
Australia	1,39%	8
Austria	1,22%	7
Belgium	0,70%	4
Brazil	0,52%	3
Canada	2,16%	15
Chile	0,17%	1
China	4,17%	24
Cocos (Keeling) Island	0,17%	1
Cyprus	0,17%	1
Denmark	2,78%	16
Finland	1,22%	7
France	3,48%	20
Germany	6,96%	40
Hong Kong	0,87%	5
Hungary	0,17%	1
India	1,39%	8
Ireland	0,17%	1
Israel	0,17%	1
Italy	1,04%	6
Japan	5,39%	31
Korea, South	11,65%	67
Malaysia	0,52%	3
Netherlands	2,09%	12
New Zealand	0,35%	2
Nigeria	0,17%	1
Norway	0,17%	1

Pakistan	0,17%	1
Philippines	0,17%	1
Poland	0,35%	2
Portugal	0,35%	2
Russia	0,17%	1
Singapore	0,87%	5
Spain	0,35%	2
Sweden	3,30%	19
Switzerland	1,74%	10
Taiwan	2,43%	14
United Arab Emirates	0,17%	1
United Kingdom	11,48%	66
United States of America	28,70%	165
	TOTAL	575

CHI 2015 Conference Survey


State (for US only)



Country	Percent	Number
California	32,70%	52
Colorado	1,26%	2
District of Columbia	0,63%	1
Florida	1,26%	2
Georgia	3,77%	6
Illinois	3,14%	5
Indiana	3,14%	5
Iowa	0,63%	1
Maryland	3,14%	5
Massachusetts	9,43%	15
Michigan	0,63%	1
Minnesota	1,26%	2
Missouri	0,63%	1
New Mexico	1,26%	2
New York	7,55%	12
Oregon	1,89%	3
Pennsylvania	8,18%	13
Rhode Island	0,63%	1
South Carolina	0,63%	1
Texas	3,14%	5
Utah	1,89%	3
Virginia	1,26%	2
Washington	11,32%	18
Wisconsin	0,63%	1
TOTAL		159

Q33 Who makes the funding decision for you to go to conferences?

Answered: 611 Skipped: 87




Answer Choices	Responses	
Me - I pay for myself	25.53%	156
My manager - my company pays for me	53.85%	329
Upper level manager	5.89%	36
Other (please specify)	14.73%	90
Total		611

CHI 2015 Conference Survey

Q34 Is there a condition placed on your attendance at events? (Please check all that apply.)

Answered: 593 Skipped: 105




Answer Choices	Responses	
Must have a submission accepted to attend	46.54%	276
Must have a paper or note accepted to attend	31.87%	189
Local travel only	2.19%	13
Specific events or specific number of events per year only	11.80%	70
Must provide business benefits	9.61%	57
Must have budget available	43.34%	257
Must fit to career development or educational goals	21.92%	130
Must be relevant to current project(s)	21.25%	126
No condition	12.48%	74
Other (please specify)	2.87%	17
Total Respondents: 593		

CHI 2015 Conference Survey

Q35 Please check each Association of which you are currently a member:

Answered: 555 Skipped: 143




Answer Choices	Responses	
None	14.05%	78
ACM	66.85%	371
ACM SIGCHI	48.29%	268
ACM SIGCHI local SIG	6.13%	34
ACM SIGGRAPH	1.44%	8
ACM SIG - other	3.60%	20
AIGA	0.36%	2
ASD	0.00%	0
HFES	1.62%	9
IEEE	11.89%	66
IxDA	3.42%	19
UXPA	2.52%	14
AFIHM	0.90%	5
BCS-HCI	1.62%	9
EACE	0.54%	3
GI	1.26%	7
STIMDI	0.18%	1
AAA	0.54%	3
4S	0.90%	5
AMIA	0.36%	2
ICA	1.08%	6
AoIR	1.26%	7
Other (please specify)	3.96%	22
Total Respondents: 555		

CHI 2015 Conference Survey

Q36 Please indicate which CHI conferences you have attended and/or plan to attend:


Answered: 537 Skipped: 161



Answer Choices	Responses	Count
CHI 2007 (San Jose)	15.83%	85
CHI 2008 (Florence, Italy)	16.95%	91
CHI 2009 (Boston)	18.06%	97
CHI 2010 (Atlanta)	24.58%	132
CHI 2011 (Vancouver, B.C., Canada)	27.00%	145
CHI 2012 (Austin, Texas)	33.15%	178
CHI 2013 (Paris, France)	43.39%	233
CHI 2014 (Toronto, Canada)	42.64%	229
CHI 2015 (Seoul, Korea)	83.05%	446
CHI 2016 (San Jose, California)	72.44%	389
CHI 2017 (Denver, Colorado)	45.62%	245
Total Respondents: 537		

Q37 Do you plan to come to CHI 2016 in San Jose, California?


Answered: 606 Skipped: 92



Answer Choices	Responses
Definitely yes	19.47% 118
Probably yes	22.94% 139
Yes, if a research paper is accepted	38.78% 235
Yes, if another type of contribution is accepted	7.43% 45
Probably not	10.56% 64
Definitely not	0.83% 5
Total	606

Q38 We are thinking about bringing CHI back to Europe: which of the two locations would you prefer ?

Answered: 586 Skipped: 112




Answer Choices	Responses	
Glasgow	41.47%	243
Copenhagen	58.53%	343
Total		586

CHI 2015 Conference Survey

Q39 Which of the following currently approved SIGCHI sponsored conferences are you considering attending (or already attended) in 2015/2016?

Answered: 402 Skipped: 296



- TEI '15: Ninth International Conference on Tangible, Embedded, and Embodied Interacti...
- HRI '15: ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction, March 1-4...
- CSCW 2015: Computer Supported Cooperative Work, March 14-18, Vancouver, Canada
- IUI '15: 20th International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces, March 14- Apr ...
- TVX '15: ACM International Conference on Interactive Experiences for TV and Online Vi...
- IDC '15: Interaction Design and Children, Jun 21-25, Medford, USA
- C&C '15: Creativity and Cognition, Jun 22-25, Glasgow, U.K.
- EICS '15: ACM SIGCHI Symposium on Engineering Interactive Computing Systems, Jun 23-2...
- SUI '15: Symposium on Spatial User Interaction, Aug 8-9, city TBA, country TBA
- MobileHCI '15: 17th International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction with Mobil...
- Ubicomp '15: The 2015 ACM International Joint Conference on Pervasive and Ubiquitous ...
- RecSys '15: Ninth ACM Conference on Recommender Systems, Sept 16-20, Vienna, Austria
- CHI PLAY '15: The annual symposium on Computer-Human Interaction in Play, Oct 3-7, Lo...
- UIST '15: The 28th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology, No...
- ICM '15: International Conference on Multimodal Interaction, Nov 3-13, Seattle, USA
- ITS '15: Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, Nov, 15-18, Funchal, Madeira, Portugal
- TEI 2016: Tenth International Conference on Tangible, Embedded, and Embodied Interaction, ...
- CSCW '16: Computer Supported Cooperative Work, Feb 27 - March 2, San Fransisco, USA
- IUI'16: 21st International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces, March 6-9, San ...
- ETRA '16: 2016 Symposium on Eye Tracking Research and Applications, April 5-8, Charls...
- DIS '16: Designing Interactive Systems Conference, June 6-10, Brisbane, Australia
- RecSys '16: Tenth ACM Conference on Recommender Systems, Sept 16-20, Boston, USA
- UIST '16: The 29th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology, Oc...
- GROUP '16: 2016 ACM Conference on Supporting Groupwork, Nov 13-16, Sanibel Island, FL...
- CSCW '17: Computer Supported Cooperative Work, March 1, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- Other (please specify)

Answer Choices	Responses
TEI '15: Ninth International Conference on Tangible, Embedded, and Embodied Interaction, Jan 31- Feb 3, Stanford, USA	9.95% 40
HRI '15: ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction, March 1-4, Portland, USA	2.24% 9
CSCW 2015: Computer Supported Cooperative Work, March 14-18, Vancouver, Canada	14.68% 59
IUI '15: 20th International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces, March 14- Apr 1, Atlanta, USA	5.47% 22
TVX '15: ACM International Conference on Interactive Experiences for TV and Online Video, Brussels, Belgium	3.73% 15
IDC '15: Interaction Design and Children, Jun 21-25, Medford, USA	5.72% 23
C&C '15: Creativity and Cognition, Jun 22-25, Glasgow, U.K.	5.22% 21
EICS '15: ACM SIGCHI Symposium on Engineering Interactive Computing Systems, Jun 23-26	3.73% 15
SUI '15: Symposium on Spatial User Interaction, Aug 8-9, city TBA, country TBA	1.74% 7
MobileHCI '15: 17th International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction with Mobile Devices and Services, Aug 25-28, Copenhagen, Denmark	19.40% 78
UbiComp '15: The 2015 ACM International Joint Conference on Pervasive and Ubiquitous Computing, Sept 7-11, Osaka, Japan	15.92% 64
RecSys '15: Ninth ACM Conference on Recommender Systems, Sept 16-20, Vienna, Austria	1.49% 6
CHI PLAY '15: The annual symposium on Computer-Human Interaction in Play, Oct 3-7, London, U.K.	9.45% 38


CHI 2015 Conference Survey

UIST '15: The 28th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology, Nov 8-11, Charlotte, NC, USA	20.15%	81
ICMI '15: International Conference on Multimodal Interaction, Nov 3-13, Seattle, USA	3.48%	14
ITS '15: Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces, Nov, 15-18, Funchal, Madeira, Portugal	6.22%	25
TEI 2016: Tenth International Conference on Tangible, Embedded, and Embodied Interaction, Feb 14-17, Eindhoven, The Netherlands	16.17%	65
CSCW '16: Computer Supported Cooperative Work, Feb 27 - March 2, San Fransisco, USA	34.58%	139
IUI'16: 21st International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces, March 6-9, San Jose, USA	6.47%	26
ETRA '16: 2016 Symposium on Eye Tracking Research and Applications, April 5-8, Charlston, USA	1.49%	6
DIS '16: Designing Interactive Systems Conference, June 6-10, Brisbane, Australia	16.42%	66
RecSys '16: Tenth ACM Conference on Recommender Systems, Sept 16-20, Boston, USA	1.49%	6
UIST '16: The 29th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology, Oct 2-5, Tokyo, Japan	23.38%	94
GROUP '16: 2016 ACM Conference on Supporting Groupwork, Nov 13-16, Sanibel Island, Florida, USA	6.22%	25
CSCW '17: Computer Supported Cooperative Work, March 1, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil	22.64%	91
Other (please specify)	6.47%	26
Total Respondents: 402		

CHI 2015 Conference Survey

Q40 How many events (e.g. conferences, workshops, seminars etc.) do you attend per year on average?

Answered: 610 Skipped: 88



Answer Choices	Responses	Count
0-1	21.31%	130
2-3	61.97%	378
4-5	12.79%	78
6+	3.93%	24
Total		610